

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/014,678 12/11/2001 Scott Bluni BSCU-004/00US 3218 EXAMINER 22903 7590 07/26/2004 COOLEY GODWARD LLP BARRETT, THOMAS C ATTN: PATENT GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER 3738 RESTON, VA 20190-5061 DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/014,678 | BLUNI ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Thomas C. Barrett | 3738 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| Status | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2004</u> . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗌 | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-13,15,17-26,28,29 and 31-36</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🖂 | 5) Claim(s) 1,3,6-13,15,17-26,28,29,31 and 32 is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7)🖂 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>35 and 36</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

The request filed on June 25, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/014678 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6-13, 15, 17-26, 28-29 and 31-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1 and 15 are generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 9-10 and 20-21 are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3738

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitmore (WO 01/091668 A1) in view of Taylor et al. (6,258,098 B1). Whitmore discloses a ureteral stent for placement in a ureter comprising: an elongated body portion defining a lumen, wherein the proximal portion of the elongated body portion is substantially more compressible than the other portions of the elongated body portion; and a retention module disposed proximal to the proximal end of the elongated body portion, however Whitmore fails to disclose the retention module having a fixation element attached by a tether connector. Taylor et al. teaches a fixation element attached by a tether connector to a ureteral stent for non-surgical removal of the stent assembly (col. 1, lines 56-58 and Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of a fixation element attached by a tether connector to a ureteral stent, as taught by Taylor et al., to a ureteral stent as per Whitmore, for non-surgical removal of the stent assembly.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3, 6-13, 15, 17-26, 28-29 and 31-32 are allowed.

Claims 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Barrett